arXiv:hep-th/9805186v2 6 Oct 1998

Intertwining Operators and Soliton Equations

M. Golenishcheva-Kutuzova *

International Center for Nonlinear Science of Landau Instutute of Theoretical Physics,
117 940, Moscow, Russia

D. Lebedev †

Institute of Theoretical & Experimental Physics, 117259 Moscow, Russia

Abstract

In this paper we generalize the fermionic approach to the KP hierarchy suggested in the papers of Kyoto school 1981-1984 (Sato, Date, Jimbo, Kashiwara and Miwa). The main idea is that the components of the intertwining operators are in some sense a generalization of free fermions for gl_∞. We formulate in terms of intertwining operators the integrable hierarchies related to Kac-Moody Lie algebra symmetries. We write down explicitly the bosonization of these operators for different choices of Heisenberg subalgebras. These different realizations lead to different hierarchies of soliton equations. For example, for sl_N-symmetries we get hierarchies obtained as $(n_1, ..., n_s)$-reduction from s-component KP $(n_1 + ... + n_s = N)$ introduced by V.Kac and J.van de Leur.

---

[*] E-mail: kutuzova@vitep5.itep.ru ; kutuzova@desargues.univ-lyon1.fr

[†] E-mail: lebedev@vitep5.itep.ru ; lebedev@desargues.univ-lyon1.fr

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Intertwining Operators of level one modules. Homogeneous construction 4

2.1 Vertex algebra V_P associated with the weight lattice P 5

2.2 Bosonization of level one intertwining operators 8

3 Hirota bilinear equation in terms of intertwining operators. Homogeneous construction 10

4 Twisted construction of the level one Intertwining operators. Related integrables systems. 14

4.1 Description of nonconjugate Heisenberg subalgebras 14

4.2 Twisted evaluation modules and corresponding twisted intertwining operators 16

4.3 Bosonization of twisted intertwining operators 18

4.4 Hirota bilinear equation in terms of twisted intertwining operators 19

1 Introduction

It is well known that there is a deep connection between the theory of soliton equations and classical infinite dimension Lie algebras. This relation was discovered first in the paper of M.Sato [S] and than was developed in the paper of Kyoto school [DJKM1]-[DJKM2], using the boson-fermion correspondence in 2-dim QFT. The main idea can be illustrated in the example of KP equation. One can interpret the set of solutions of the KP hierarchy as an orbit $\mathfrak{O} = \mathrm{GL}_\infty|0>$ of the group GL_∞ of the vacuum vector $|0>$ in the basic representation $V(\Lambda_0)$ of GL_∞ . The system of equations defining $\mathfrak{O}$ can be determined in terms of operator

$$S = Res|_{z=0}\Psi(z) \otimes \Psi^*(z),$$

where $\Psi(z) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \Psi_i\, z^{-i-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\Psi^*(z) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \Psi^*_i\, z^{-i-\frac{1}{2}}$ are charged fermionic fields. The operator S commutes with the diagonal action of GL_∞ on $\mathfrak{F}\otimes\mathfrak{F}$, where $\mathfrak{F}$ is a fermionic Fock space, and $S(|0>\otimes|0>) = 0$. It follows that any element $\tau \in \mathfrak{O}$ satisfies the equation

$$S(\tau\otimes\tau) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Using the boson-fermion correspondence, one can rewrite this equation as a system of partial differential equations in the Hirota bilinear form. Thus, we get the KP hierarchy. Using the so-called reduction procedure, one can write down a hierarchy of Hirota bilinear equations for the orbit of the highest weight vector in the basic representation of the loop group SL_n. This gives the KdV hierarchy for $n = 2$, the Boussinesq hierarchy for $n = 3$, e.t.c. The $[n_1, n_2, ..., n_s]$ reduction from the s-component KP hierarchy [KV] - [V] leads to the hierarchies corresponding to the different realization R_w of the basic representation of the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n,\ n = n_1 + ... + n_s$. It is known that all these realizations are parametrized by conjugacy classes of the Weyl group W of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ [KP]. A similar approach can be applied to the group O_∞ [KV]- [V].

The problem how to construct the hierarchies associated to arbitrary loop groups in a unified fashion, including the exceptional ones, was developed by V.Kac and M.Wakimoto in [KW], using the quadratic Casimir operator in place of the fermionic-like Casimir operator S. In fact, the equation (1.1) is equivalent to $S^* S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$, so one may consider the operator $\Omega = S^* S$ instead of S, which is the Casimir operator for GL_∞. This operator allows the generalization for the general affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in the following way. Let $< \cdot | \cdot >$ be an invariant symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let V be a representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ that "integrates" to a representation of the corresponding loop group $\hat{G}$. Let $\{u_i\}$ and $\{u^i\}$ are the dual basis of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, i.e. $< u_i | u^j >= \delta_{ij}$. Then the operator $\Omega = \sum_j u_j \otimes u^j$ commutes with the diagonal action of $\hat{G}$ on $V \otimes V$. Let $v_0 \in V$ be such that $\Omega(v_0 \otimes v_0) = \lambda(v_0 \otimes v_0)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then any τ from the orbit $G \cdot v_0$ satisfies the equation

$$\Omega(\tau \otimes \tau) = \lambda(\tau \otimes \tau) \tag{1.2}$$

The main idea of this paper came from [GKLMM], where the analogue of the fermionic-like Casimir operator was constructed in terms of the intertwining operators. For the level one modules, these operators form a closed operator algebra of the parafermionic type similar to [FZ] and from some point of view can be interpretated as the generalization of fermion operators. Namely, for GL_∞ case, the fermionic fields $\Psi(z)$ and $\Psi^*(z)$ can be interpreted as the intertwining operators for GL_∞ level one (fundamental) modules.

The classical hierarchies, related with the representations of Kac-Moody Lie algebras expressed in terms of the intertwining operators, also allow the q-analogues for quantum intertwining operators for the corresponding quantum group. The first steps in this direction were done in [GKLMM]. This paper present the general approach how to build up the analogue of the operator S in classical and quantum cases. For quantum $U_q(sl_2)$ the corresponding hierarchies of bilinear equations were written. These equations are combinations of differential and q-difference equations. The attempts to extend this approach to the general case of the quantized unveloping algebra meet with obstacles. Roughly speaking, these obstacles are related to the following problem: what is the quantum evolution on quantum Grassmannian. Some investigations in this direction were done in the papers [GKLMM] -[KKL], but it does not allow to rewrite the abstract equation (1.1) on the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -orbit of the vacuum vector in the Hirota-like appropriate form. Anyway, there is no obstruction to use the intertwining operator approach in the classical case. For affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras this approach gives some addition possibilities to compare with the quadratic - Casimir one. The exceptions are provided by the cases when the dimension of the space of such operators is 0, but in general, in this approach one can consider the equations related with the tensor products of different $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules and not necessarily of level one. In this paper we explain how to write down Hirota bilinear equations on the τ-function of the type

$$S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where S is the fermionic-like Casimir operator for the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ expressed in terms of intertwinings operators between the level one modules. The case of the Wakimoto modules symmetries will be considered in [GK]. The intertwining operators are the central object of the representation theory of affine Lie algebras and associated conformal field theories. Remind some facts about the intertwining operators [FR]. To any representation V of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a formal variable z, one can associate two types of representations. One type is the highest weight representation $V_{\lambda,k}$ of level k (equal to the value of the central element c). This representation has $\mathbb{Z}$-graded structure $V_{\lambda,k} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_{\lambda,k}[-n]$ compatible with the one of $\mathfrak{g}$ and its top subspace $V_{\lambda,k}[0]$ is isomorphic to V_λ. The second type is a finite dimensional evaluation representation for which $k = 0$. As a vector space $V[z] \cong V \otimes \mathbb{C}((z))$, where $\mathbb{C}((z))$ stands for the Laurent series in z, and the generators $J_x^n = x \otimes t^n,\ \ x \in \mathfrak{g}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts by $x \otimes z^n$ on $V[z]$. Introduce the fields in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$;

$$J_x(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} J_x^n \, z^{-n-1}$$

The commutation relations admit the following form

$$[J_x(z),\ J_y(w)] = J_{[x,y]}(w)\, \delta(z-w) + \delta^{'}(z-w)c, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\delta(z-w) = z^{-1} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^n$. Consider the intertwining operators

$$\Psi(z) : V_{\mu,k} \longrightarrow V_{\nu,k} \otimes V_\lambda[z] \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mu, \nu, \lambda \in P_+$. As usual in (1.5) one makes some shift in grading by z, coming from the grading of representation see ([FR]). A fixed choice of an element $v \in V_\lambda^*$ gives rise to the operator

$$\Psi_v(z) : V_{\mu,k} \longrightarrow V_{\nu,k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[z]], \tag{1.6}$$

or in the component form

$$\Psi_v(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Psi_v[n]\, z^{-n}.$$

Since the intertwining property of $\Psi(z)$ does not depend on multiplication by any power series of z we will choose the grading in (1.6) consistent with the graded structures of the representation.

By definition, the intertwining operator $\Psi_v(z)$ satisfies

$$[J_x(z),\ \Psi_v(w)] = \Psi_{xv}(w)\, \delta(z-w) \tag{1.7}$$

For the adjoint vector representation V_λ^* one can define in the same fashion the adjoint intertwining operator:

$$\Psi^*(z) : V_{\mu',k} \longrightarrow V_\lambda^*[z] \otimes V_{\nu',k}, \tag{1.8}$$

and for each vector $v \in V_\lambda$, the components of the adjoint operator:

$$\Psi(z)_v^* : V_{\mu',k} \longrightarrow V_{\nu',k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[z]]. \tag{1.9}$$

Consider the dual basises $\{v_i\}$ and $\{v^i\}$ in V_λ and V_λ^* with respect to a natural pairing $V_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda^* \to \mathbb{C}$. Then the operator

$$K(z) = \sum_i \Psi_{v^i}(z) \otimes \Psi_{v_i}^*(z) : V_{\mu,k} \otimes V_{\mu',k} \longmapsto \tag{1.10}$$
$$\longmapsto V_{\nu,k} \otimes V_{\nu',k} \otimes \mathbb{C}((z))$$

commutes with the diagonal action of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on the tensor product:

$$\Delta\hat{\mathfrak{g}}\, K(z) = K(z)\, \Delta\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$$

and doesn't depend on the choice of the dual basis on V_λ and V_λ^*. This operator is a fermionic analogues of the operator (1.1).

Definition 1.1 *Consider the following data:*

(i) affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$
(ii) Casimir operator $K(z)$ (1.10)
(iii) vertex operator construction R (bosonization) of the operators $\Psi_{v^i}(w)$ and $\Psi_{v_i}^*(w)$ and the fields $J_x(z)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.
To these data we will associate the hierarchies of soliton equation, which we will call the hierarchy associated with the data (i)-(iii).

Note that for given modules our construction depends on the dimension of the space of the intertwining operators and on the vertex operator construction R. It is known, that for simply-laced case and the level one representations such constructions are parametrized by the conjugacy classes of the Weyl group W of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We would like to mention the series of papers [W, GHM, BGHM, A] where different aspects of application of the Drinfeld-Sokolov procedure in the case of intermediate gradation of Affine Lie algebras were constructed. It will be useful to find connection between this approach and ours (we thank H.Aratyn for drawing our attention to the papers [W, GHM, BGHM, A]).

2 Intertwining Operators of level one modules. Homogeneous construction

In this section we give the bosonization of intertwining operators for level one modules $V(\Lambda_i)$, $i \in I$. All these operators can be realized in the space

$$V_P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V(\Lambda_i),$$

the sum is taken over the all level one fundamental modules of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. These operators form an operator algebra of "parafermiomic type". To give explicitly this construction we introduce the notion of vertex operator algebra associated to the weight lattice P. This vertex algebra can be considered as a generalization of the n-fermionic Fock space for $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$.

2.1 Vertex algebra V_P associated with the weight lattice P

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra of type A_n, D_n, E_6, E_7 (case E_8 is exceptional for our construction for the reason that the root lattice of E_8 is self-dual). Let Q be the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the ordered basis $\{\alpha_i\}$, $i = 1, ..., l$. We fix the normalization of the symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i) = 2$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]| \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ be the corresponding affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and let Λ_i, $i = 0, 1, ..., l$ be the fundamental weight of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. We have (see for notations [K1]) $\Lambda_i = \bar{\Lambda}_i + a_i^\vee \Lambda_0$, where $\bar{\Lambda}_0 = 0$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_1, ..., \bar{\Lambda}_l$ are the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $a_0^\vee, ..., a_l^\vee$ are the Coxeter numbers of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The level of the representation with the hiest weight Λ_i is one if and only if $a_i^\vee = 1$. Let denote by I the set of level one fundamental modules: $I = \{i \in \{0, ..., l\} \,| a_i^\vee = 1\}$. Let P be the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. It can be proved that P can be represented as

$$P = \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \{\bar{\Lambda}_i + Q\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We can extend the bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ from Q to P .

The twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P]$ is an algebra with the basis $\{e^\alpha, \alpha \in P\}$ and "twisted" multiplication:

$$e^\alpha \cdot e^\beta \ = \ \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) e^{\alpha+\beta}, \tag{2.2}$$

where ε is a 2-cocycle on P defined by

$$1. \, \varepsilon(\alpha, 0) = \varepsilon(0, \alpha) = 1 \tag{2.3}$$

$$2. \, \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{(\alpha,\beta)} \varepsilon(\beta, \alpha), \ \text{for} \, \alpha \in Q, \ \beta \in P \tag{2.4}$$

$$3. \, \varepsilon(\beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta + \gamma, \alpha) = \varepsilon(\beta, \gamma + \alpha)\varepsilon(\gamma, \alpha). \tag{2.5}$$

Given a 2-cocycle $\varepsilon : P \times P \to \mathbb{C}^*$, one associates to ε a function $B_\varepsilon : P \times P \to \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by

$$B_\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha)^{-1} \tag{2.6}$$

It is clear that B_ε is skewsymmetric, i.e.,

$$B_\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = B_\varepsilon^{-1}(\beta, \alpha). \tag{2.7}$$

And (2.2) is equivalent to

$$e^\alpha e^\beta = B_\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) e^\beta e^\alpha \tag{2.8}$$

Using the associativity, we see that B_ε is bimultiplicative, i.e.,

$$B_\varepsilon(\alpha + \gamma, \beta) = B_\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)B_\varepsilon(\gamma, \beta), \text{ and } B_\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha + \gamma) = B_\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha)B_\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma). \tag{2.9}$$

It is clear that bimultiplicativity implies the cocycle property (2.3). For the weight lattice P under consideration we would like to construct explicitly a bimultiplicative cocycle $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)$ on $P \times P$ with the properties (2.3)- (2.5). Unfortunately, in the general case it is impossible. Let N be the index of Q in P. For N odd such a cocycle exists, for N even it is possible to construct a such cocycle only on $Q \times P$. Note that the property (2.4) is responsible for a right commutator's relations between the fields of Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and between the field of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the components of the intertwining operators. First, construct explicitly the cocycle $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)$ on the integral lattice $Q \in Q$ with values ± 1 . Choose an ordered basis $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_\ell$ of Q over $\mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = (-1)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}, \qquad \text{if } i < j; \tag{2.10a}$$

$$\varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 1, \qquad \text{if } i > j \tag{2.10b}$$

$$\varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = (-1)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)/2}, \qquad \text{if } i = j \tag{2.10c}$$

and extend to $Q \times Q$ by bimultiplicativity. We obtain a bimultiplicative function $\varepsilon : Q \times Q \to \{\pm 1\}$. The bimultiplicativity implies the cocycle properties (2.5). Preserving the multiplicativity we would like to extend this cocycle to the lattice. Introduce the following matrices

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (b_{i,j})\,, \;\; b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{i\pi} \log \varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j), \\ C &= (c_{i,j})\,, \;\; c_{i,j} = \frac{1}{i\pi} \log \varepsilon(\alpha_i, \bar{\Lambda}_{ij}), \\ C^{'} &= \left(c^{'}_{i,j}\right), \;\; c^{'}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{i\pi} \log \varepsilon(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \alpha_j), \\ D &= (d_{i,j})\,, \;\; d_{i,j} = \frac{1}{i\pi} \log \varepsilon(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_j). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The relation (2.4) is equivalent to

$$B = B^t + A + 2L, \quad C^{'} - C^t = E + 2K, \; B = CA, \quad B^{'} = AC, \quad B = AD + 2S, \; B^{'} = DA + 2R$$

and from the bimultiplicativity we have

$$B = AD + 2S, \;\; B^{'} = DA + 2R,$$

where A is the Cartan matrix of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, L , K , S and R are the matrice with the matrix elements in $\mathbb{Z}$. From (2.10a) - (2.10c) B is uniquely defined up to an even matrix. Choose B satisfying $B - B^t = A - 2B^t$. Define C and C^t by

$$C^{'} = A^{-1}(B + 2B^t), \;\; C = BA^{-1}.$$

This give us a well defined cocycle on $Q \times P$ or $P \times Q$ with the property (2.4).

Remark that for even $N = \det A$, NA and A are equal up to the even matrix. Than, NB satisfies the same relation as B and NB^tA^{-1} is a matrix with the integer elements. Define D by

$$D = A^{-1}(B + 2B^t)A^{-1}$$

Then all relations are satisfied and we get by bimultiplicativity the cocycle on $P \otimes P$ with the property (2.3)-(2.5). For N odd, it is impossible to find the solution for the matrix D (we need that B^tA^{-1} be an integer matrix). Such cocycle does not exist on $P \otimes P$ for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. This case will be considered in the (3.1).

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q$ be the complexification of Q, and extend the bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ from Q to $\mathfrak{h}$ by bilinearity. Let

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] + \mathbb{C}k \tag{2.12}$$

be the affinization of $\mathfrak{h}$ (Heisenberg subalgebra). Let S be the symmetric algebra over the space

$$\mathfrak{h}^{<0} = \sum_{j<0} \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^j. \tag{2.13}$$

We shall write ht^j in place of $h \otimes t^j$ for short.

We define the space of states of the vertex algebra that we shall associate to the lattice P as

$$V_P = S \otimes \mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P], \tag{2.14}$$

where S is the symmetric algebra of $h^{<0}$. The vacuum vector is $|0>= 1 \otimes 1$. The action π of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ on V_P is defined as follows. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \pi_2$, where π_1 is the action on S, and π_2 is the action on $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P]$ defined by letting $\pi_1(c) = I,\ \pi_1(ht^n)$ be the operator of multiplication by ht^n if $n < 0,\ \pi(ht^n)$ be the derivation of the algebra S defined by $(h_1t^n)(h_2t^{-s}) = n\delta_{n,s}(h_1|h_2)$ if $n > 0$ and $\pi_1(h) = 0$. $\pi_1(ht^n)$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P]$ if $n \neq 0$, and $\pi_2(h)e^\alpha = (h|\alpha)e^\alpha,\ \pi_2(c) = 0$. Denote by $h_n = \pi(ht^n),\ h \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the following End V_P-valued fields

$$h(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-n-1}, \tag{2.15}$$

and the correspoding Veneziano field $\tilde{h}(z)$

$$\tilde{h}(z) = -ih - ih_0 \log z + i \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{z^{-n}}{n} h_n, \tag{2.16}$$

so that $h(z) = i\partial\tilde{h}(z)$. We have $[h_m, h_n^{'}] = m\delta_{m,-n}(h|h^{'}),\ h, h^{'} \in \mathfrak{h},\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by e^α the operator on V_P of multiplication by $1 \otimes e^\alpha,\ \alpha \in P$, we have $[h_n, e^\alpha] = \delta_{n,0}(\alpha|h)e^\alpha$. Let $Y(v, z),\ z \in \mathbb{C}$ be the field, corresponding to the vector $v \in V_P$ via the state-field correspondence (for more detailes about Vertex Operators Algebra (VOA) see [K1]). In particularly, this means that $Y(v, z)|0>|_{z=0} = v$. Let

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z) = Y(1 \otimes e^\alpha, z) \ \text{ for } \ \alpha \in P.$$

From the commutators relations with h_n we derive that

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z) = e^{\sum_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{n}\alpha_{-n}} e^{-\sum_{n>0} \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\alpha_n} \otimes e^\alpha z^{\alpha_0}, \tag{2.17}$$

or in a short form $\Gamma_\alpha(z) = e^{i\tilde{h}(z)}$. We have the following OPE's

$$h(z)\Gamma_\alpha(w) \sim \frac{(\alpha|h)\Gamma_\alpha(w)}{z - w},\ \ h \in \mathfrak{h},\ \alpha \in P, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z)\Gamma_\beta(w) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)(z - w)^{(\alpha|\beta)}\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(z, w),\ \ \alpha, \beta \in P,\ |z| > |w|, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(z,w) = e^{\sum_{n>0}\frac{z^n}{n}\alpha_{-n}+\frac{w^n}{n}\beta_{-n}}e^{-\sum_{n>0}\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\alpha_n+\frac{w^{-n}}{n}\beta_n}\otimes e^{\alpha+\beta}z^{\alpha_0}w^{\beta_0}.$$

From (2.19) and the cocycle properties (2.4) we get that for $\alpha \in Q,\ \beta \in P$ the fields $\Gamma_\alpha(z)$ and $\Gamma_\beta(w)$ are pairwise local in the strong sense [K1] and for the general case satisfy the OPE of the parafermionic type [FZ]. Due to (2.1),

$$V_P = \bigoplus_{i\in I} V(\Lambda_i). \tag{2.20}$$

as a linear space. In particular, $V(\Lambda_0) \simeq V_Q = S\otimes \mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[Q]$ is a rational VOA and V_P is a direct sum of the VOA $V(\Lambda_0)$ and all its representations (see [K1]) .

Let $X_\alpha,\ h_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\Delta$ be the Cartan basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define the fields

$$X_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} X_\alpha t^n\, z^{-n-1}, \quad h_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_\alpha t^n\, z^{-n-1}.$$

Then the correspondence

$$X_\alpha(z) \longmapsto \Gamma_\alpha(z),\ \ h_\alpha(z)\longmapsto \alpha(z),\ \ c\longmapsto 1 \tag{2.21}$$

gives us the vertex operator representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (Frenkel-Kac construction [KKLW]) Operators (2.21) preserve the decomposition (2.20), namely in $V(\Lambda_0)$ we have the basic representation in homogeneous realization.

2.2 Bosonization of level one intertwining operators

Let again $V(\Lambda_i),\ i\in I$ be the level one fundamental weights of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let $V(\bar\Lambda_i)[z]$ be the corresponding evaluation module for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. For the dual module $V^*(\bar\Lambda_i)[z]$ we have $V^*(\bar\Lambda_i)[z]\simeq V^*(\bar\Lambda_j)[z]$ for some $j\in I$. Denote by $P(\bar\Lambda_i)$ the set of weights of the finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module $V(\bar\Lambda_i)$ and let $\{e_\gamma\},\ \gamma\in P(\bar\Lambda_i)$ be the weight basis of $V(\bar\Lambda_i)$ normalized as follows

$$e_\gamma = X_{-\alpha}e_{\bar\Lambda_i},\ \text{ where }\ \ \gamma = \bar\Lambda_i - \alpha,\ \ \alpha\in\Delta_+. \tag{2.22}$$

Define the dual basis $\{e^*_\gamma\},\ \ \gamma\in P(\bar\Lambda_i)$ in $V^*(\bar\Lambda_i)$ by

$$e^*_\gamma = (-1)^{(\bar\Lambda_i|\bar\Lambda_i-\gamma)}\varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha)\, X_\alpha e_{-\bar\Lambda_i}\in V^*(\bar\Lambda_i). \tag{2.23}$$

Consider the intertwining operator

$$\Phi^i(z): V(\Lambda_m)\longrightarrow V(\Lambda_n)\otimes V^*(\bar\Lambda_i)[z]z^{(\bar\Lambda_m|\bar\Lambda_i)} \tag{2.24}$$

and the adjoint intertwining operator

$$\Psi^i(z): V(\Lambda_p)\longrightarrow V(\Lambda_s)\otimes V(\bar\Lambda_i)[z]\, z^{-(\bar\Lambda_p|\bar\Lambda_i)}, \tag{2.25}$$

where $\bar\Lambda_m+\bar\Lambda_i\in\{\bar\Lambda_n+Q\}$ and $\bar\Lambda_p-\bar\Lambda_i\in\{\bar\Lambda_s+Q\}$. Each vector $e\in V(\bar\Lambda_i)$ defines the component of $\Phi^i(z)$

$$\Phi^i_e(z): V(\Lambda_m)\longrightarrow V(\Lambda_n)\otimes\mathbb{C}((z))z^{(\bar\Lambda_m|\bar\Lambda_i)}, \tag{2.26}$$

and $e^* \in V^*(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$, the components of $\Phi^i_e(z)$

$$\Psi^i_{e^*}(z) : V(\Lambda_p) \longrightarrow V(\Lambda_s) \otimes \mathbb{C}((z)) z^{-(\bar{\Lambda}_p|\bar{\Lambda}_i)}. \tag{2.27}$$

For the weight basis $\{e_\gamma\}$ and $\{e^*_\gamma\}$, $\gamma \in P(V(\bar{\Lambda}_i))$, on $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ and $V^*(\bar{\Lambda}_i))$ respectively we will write

$$\Phi^i_\gamma(z) = \Phi^i_{e_\gamma}(z), \quad \Psi^i_\gamma(z) = \Phi^i_{e^*_\gamma}(z)$$

From (2.17) -(2.18) and the normalization given by

$$\Phi_{e^i_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}}(z)|0> |_{z=0} = 1 \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_i}, \ \ \Psi_{e^i_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}{}^*}(z)|0> |_{z=0} = 1 \otimes e^{-\bar{\Lambda}_i} \tag{2.28}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^i_\gamma(z) &= \varepsilon(-\alpha, \bar{\Lambda}_i)\Gamma_\gamma(z) \\ \Psi^i_\gamma(z) &= (-1)^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \alpha)}\varepsilon(\alpha, -\bar{\Lambda}_i)\varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha)\Gamma_{-\gamma}(z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

where $\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ and $\gamma = \bar{\Lambda}_i - \alpha$. Indeed, for $\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ we have $\gamma = \bar{\Lambda}_i - \alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ and $(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \alpha) = 1$. From this and (2.18) and (2.10) we have the following OPE

$$X_{-\alpha}(z)\Gamma_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}(z) \sim \frac{\varepsilon(-\alpha, \bar{\Lambda}_i)\Gamma_\gamma(z)}{(z-w)^{(\alpha, \bar{\Lambda}_i)}}, \quad \text{for } |z| > |w|. \tag{2.30}$$

It is equivalent to the following commutation relations for the intertwining operators

$$\begin{aligned} [X_\alpha(z), \Phi^i_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}(w)] &= \Phi^i_\gamma(z)\delta(z-w) \ \text{ and} \\ [X_\alpha(z), \Psi^i_{\bar{\Lambda}_i}(w)] &= \varepsilon(-\alpha, \alpha)\Psi^i_\gamma(z)\delta(z-w), \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

where $\Phi^i_\gamma(z)$ and $\Psi^i_\gamma(z)$ are given by (2.29). Due to the cocycle properties (2.4) and relations (2.31) we get the more general commutation relations (2.24) between the fields $X_\alpha(z)$, $\alpha \in Q$ and the components $\Phi^i_\gamma(z)$ and $\Psi^i_\gamma(z)$ of the intertwining operators (2.26), (2.27)

$$[X_\beta(z), \Phi^i_\gamma(w)] = \varepsilon(\beta, -\alpha)\Phi^i_{\gamma+\beta}(z)\delta(z-w) \tag{2.32}$$

$$[X_\beta(z), \Psi^i_\gamma(w)] = \varepsilon(\beta, \alpha)\Psi^i_{\gamma+\beta}(z)\delta(z-w),$$

which coincide with the defining relations (1.7).

Example 2.1 *Consider the case* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(N, \mathbb{C})$, $N > 2$ *.The case* $N = 2$ *will be considered in the next section.*

In this case all fundamental modules $V(\Lambda_i)$, $i \in \mathrm{I} = \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ are at level one. We have $P = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \{\bar{\Lambda}_i + Q\}$, $V^*(\bar{\Lambda}_i) \simeq V(\bar{\Lambda}_{N-i})$ and the decomposition

$$V_P = \oplus_{i=0}^{N-1} V(\Lambda_i).$$

All $V(\Lambda_i)$ are invariant under the action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(N, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$X_\alpha \longmapsto \Gamma_\alpha(z); \ h_\alpha \longmapsto \alpha(z), \ \alpha \in \Delta; \ c \longmapsto 1 \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$\Phi^i_\gamma(z) = \varepsilon(-\bar{\Lambda}_i + \gamma, \bar{\Lambda}_i)\Gamma_\gamma(z), \ \ \Psi^i_\gamma(z) = (-1)^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma, \bar{\Lambda}_i)}\varepsilon(\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma, \gamma)\Gamma_{-\gamma}(z)$$

for $\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$. Note that from the definition of the cocycle, $\Psi^i_\gamma(z)$ are well defined operators on V_P only for N odd and for N even only on $V(\Lambda_0) \simeq V_Q$.

3 Hirota bilinear equation in terms of intertwining operators. Homogeneous construction

Let $\Phi^i_\gamma(z)$ and $\Psi^i_\gamma(z)$ be the components of the intertwining operators $\Phi(z)$ and $\Psi(z)$, defined via the weight basis $\{e_\gamma\}$ and $\{e^*_\gamma\}$ see (2.29)-(2.30) in $V(\bar\Lambda_i)$ and $V^*(\bar\Lambda_i)$ respectively.

Consider the operator

$$K^i(z)=\sum_{\gamma\in P(\bar\Lambda_i)}\Phi^i_\gamma(z)\otimes\Psi^i_\gamma(z):V(\Lambda_m)\otimes V(\Lambda_p)\longrightarrow V(\Lambda_n)\otimes V(\Lambda_s)\mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}]\,z^{(\bar\Lambda_i,\bar\Lambda_m-\bar\Lambda_p)},\tag{3.1}$$

where $m,p,n,s\in I$ and the highest weights are related as in (2.24) - (2.25). By the definition 1.1 of the introduction, to construct the integrable hierarchy of soliton equations we need the following data.

a) operator $K:V(\Lambda_m)\otimes V(\Lambda_p)\longrightarrow V(\Lambda_n)\otimes V(\Lambda_s)$, commuting with the diagonal action of Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (corresponding Lie group $\hat G$): $\Delta\hat{\mathfrak{g}}K=K\Delta\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, where Δ is a natural action of the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ $(\hat G)$ on the tensor product of two modules.

b) vertex operator construction of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and of K.

c) condition $K(|v_m>\otimes|v_p>)=0)$ for the highest weight vector $|v_m>\otimes|v_p>\in V(\Lambda_m)\otimes V(\Lambda_p)$.

Then the equation

$$K(\tau^{(m)}\otimes\tau^{(p)})=0,\quad \tau^{(m)}\otimes\tau^{(p)}\in V(\Lambda_m)\otimes V(\Lambda_p)\tag{3.2}$$

determined the orbit of the vector $\tau^{(m)}\otimes\tau^{(p)}$ under the action of $\hat G$. If the operator K exists and can be presented in bosonic form, we can rewrite this equation as a hierarchy of soliton equation in Hirota bilinear form [DJKM1], [KW].

On $V(\Lambda_m)\otimes V(\Lambda_p)$ operator $K^i(z)$ has a decomposition

$$K^i(z)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}K^i_k\,z^{-k+(\bar\Lambda_i|\bar\Lambda_m-\bar\Lambda_p)}.\tag{3.3}$$

Define the m-vacuum $|m>=1\otimes e^{\bar\Lambda_m}$ for the highest vector on $V(\Lambda_m)$. For

$$k>\max_{\gamma\in P(\bar\Lambda_i)}(\bar\Lambda_i-\gamma|\bar\Lambda_m-\bar\Lambda_p)$$

we have

$$K^i_k\,(|m>\otimes|p>)=0$$

and equation

$$K^i_k\,(\tau^{(m)}\otimes\tau^{(p)})=0,\quad \tau^{(m)}\otimes\tau^{(p)}\in V(\Lambda_m)\otimes V(\Lambda_p)\tag{3.4}$$

determines the hierarchy of soliton equations. If $\tau\in V(\Lambda_m)$, then

$$\tau=\sum_{\beta\in Q}\tau_\beta\otimes e^{\bar\Lambda_m+\beta},\tag{3.5}$$

where $\tau_\beta \in S$. We can identify the space S with the space $\mathbb{C}[x_n^\alpha]$, $\alpha \in \Pi$, $n = 1, 2, ...,$ where Π is a basis in Q. The action on $\mathbb{C}[x_n^\alpha]$ of α_m, $\alpha \in P$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a naturally defined representation of the Heisenberg algebra

$$\alpha_{-m} \longmapsto m x_m^\alpha,\ \alpha_m \longmapsto \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} (\alpha, \beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m^\beta} \quad \text{for } m > 0 \tag{3.6}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \alpha_0 \longmapsto 0,\ c \longmapsto 1.$$

By linearity for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ γ_m are well defined operators on $\mathbb{C}[x_n^\alpha]$. We will use the notation

$$\partial_{\gamma_m} = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} (\gamma |\, \beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m^\beta}, \quad \text{for } \gamma_m,\ m > 0.$$

Using the bosonization form (2.17), (2.29) we can write (3.4) in Hirota bilinear form.

$$\begin{aligned} Res|_{z=0} z^{k-(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p)-1} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)} (-1)^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma)} \varepsilon(\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma, -\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma) \Gamma_\gamma(z) \otimes \Gamma_{-\gamma}(z) \right) \cdot \\ \left(\sum_{\beta', \delta' \in Q} \tau_{\beta'} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_m + \beta'} \otimes \tau_{\delta'} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_p + \delta'} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We can identify $V(\Lambda_m) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_n'^\alpha] \otimes \sum_{\beta \in Q} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_m + \beta}$ and $V(\Lambda_p) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_n''^\alpha] \otimes \sum_{\beta \in Q} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_p + \beta}$. Then (3.7) can be written as

$$\begin{gathered} Res|_{z=0} z^{k-(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p)-1} \\ \left(\sum_{\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)} (-1)^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma)} \varepsilon(\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma, -\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma) \cdot\ e^{\sum_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{n} (\gamma'_{-n} - \gamma''_{-n})} e^{-\sum_{n>0} \frac{z^{-n}}{n} (\gamma'_n - \gamma''_n)} \right. \\ \left. \left(\sum_{\beta', \delta' \in Q} z^{(\gamma | \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p + \beta' - \delta')} \varepsilon(\gamma, \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p + \beta' - \delta') \tau_{\beta'}(x') \tau_{\delta'}(x'') e^{\bar{\Lambda}_m + \beta' + \gamma} \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_p + \delta' - \gamma} \right) \right) = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{3.8}$$

Introduce the new variables

$$x_j^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(x_j'^\alpha + x_j''^\alpha), \quad y_j^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(x_j'^\alpha - x_j''^\alpha)$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j'^\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j''^\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j^\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j'^\alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j''^\alpha}.$$

The operators $2\gamma_{-n} = \gamma'_{-n} - \gamma''_{-n}$ and $\gamma_n = \gamma'_n - \gamma''_n$, $\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$, $n > 0$ are the operators on $\mathbb{C}[y_n^\alpha]$, $\alpha \in \Pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, defined according the (3.6). Then (3.8) can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
Res|_{z=0} z^{k-1} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)} (-1)^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma)} \varepsilon(\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma, -\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma) \cdot \right. \\
\left(\sum_{\beta', \delta' \in Q} z^{(\gamma - \bar{\Lambda}_i, \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p) + (\gamma, \beta' - \delta')} \varepsilon(\gamma, \bar{\Lambda}_m + \beta') \varepsilon(-\gamma, \bar{\Lambda}_p + \delta') \cdot \right. \qquad (3.9) \\
\left. \left. \left(\sum_{p,s=0}^{\infty} p_s(2\gamma) p_l(-\tilde{\partial}_\gamma) z^{s-l} \right) \tau_{\beta'}(x+y) \cdot \tau_{\delta'}(x-y) e^{\bar{\Lambda}_m + \beta' + \gamma} \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_p + \delta' - \gamma} \right) \right) = 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where p_s are the Schur pollynomials. Rewrite this equation in Hirota bilinear form and look at the coefficient of

$$e^{\bar{\Lambda}_m + \bar{\Lambda}_i + \beta} \otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}_p - \bar{\Lambda}_i + \delta}, \quad \beta, \delta \in Q.$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
Res|_{z=0} z^{k-1} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)} (-1)^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i | \bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma)} \left(\sum_{\beta', \delta' \in Q} z^{(\gamma - \bar{\Lambda}_i | \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p)} \, z^{(\gamma | 2\bar{\Lambda}_i - 2\gamma + \beta - \delta)} \times \right. \right. \\
\varepsilon(\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma, -\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma) \varepsilon(\gamma | \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p + 2\bar{\Lambda}_i - 2\gamma + \beta - \delta) \left(\sum_{p,s=0}^{\infty} p_s(2\gamma) p_l(-\tilde{D}_\gamma) z^{s-l} \right) \cdot \qquad (3.10) \\
\left. \left. e^{\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+} y_s^\alpha D_{\alpha,s}} \tau_{\bar{\Lambda}_i + \beta - \gamma} \cdot \tau_{-\bar{\Lambda}_i + \gamma + \delta} \right) \right) = 0.
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\tilde{D}_\gamma$ stands for $(D_{\gamma_1}, \frac{1}{2} D_{\gamma_2}, \frac{1}{3} D_{\gamma_3}, ...)$ and $D_{\gamma_m} = \partial_{\gamma_m} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} (\gamma | \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m^\alpha}$.

Note that all these Hierarchies are invariant with respect to the translation

$$\beta \mapsto \beta + \alpha, \; \delta \mapsto \delta + \alpha, \quad \alpha \in Q.$$

Remark 3.1 *It is not clear whether these hierarchies are equivalent for different* $k > \max_{\gamma \in P(\bar{\Lambda}_i)} (\bar{\Lambda}_i - \gamma | \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p)$

Definition 3.1 *We will call the hierarchies of bilinear equations, given by (3.10), the hierarchies associated with the operator (3.1).*

Example 3.1 *Consider for more details the case* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$

We have $I = \{0, 1\}$, $P = Q \bigsqcup \{\bar{\Lambda}_1 + Q\}$ and $P(\bar{\Lambda}_1) = \{\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}\}$, were α is a positive root. The bosonization of the fields can be given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_\alpha(z) = \Gamma_\alpha(z), \; h_\alpha(z) = \alpha(z), \\
\Phi_{\pm \frac{\alpha}{2}}(z) = \Gamma_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(z)(-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad \Psi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(z) = \pm \Gamma_{\pm \frac{\alpha}{2}}(z)(-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
\end{gathered} \qquad (3.11)
$$

For $m, p \; mod \, 2$ consider the operator (3.1) commuting with the diagonal action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ on the tensor product of two fundamental modules

$$\begin{gathered} K(z) = (-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(z) \otimes (-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(z) - (-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(z) \otimes (-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(z) : \\ V(\Lambda_m) \otimes V(\Lambda_p) \longrightarrow V(\Lambda_{m+1}) \otimes V(\Lambda_{p+1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \, z^{(\bar{\Lambda}_1 | \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p)}, \end{gathered} \tag{3.12}$$

where $m, p \; mod \, 2$. We have

$$(\bar{\Lambda}_1 | \bar{\Lambda}_m - \bar{\Lambda}_p) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \; m = p \\ \pm\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \; m \neq p \end{cases}$$

We identify the space $V_P = V(\Lambda_0) \oplus V(\Lambda_1)$ with the space

$$\mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, ...] \otimes \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} e^{r\alpha}.$$

A vector $\tau \in V(\Lambda_i)$ can be written as $\tau = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_n \otimes e^{n\alpha + i\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, $i = 0, 1$. We get on $V(\Lambda_0) \otimes V(\Lambda_1)$ the following system of Hirota bilinear equations

$$\begin{gathered} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(y) p_{j+s-l+k}(-\tilde{D}) e^{\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s D_s} \tau_s \cdot \tau_{l+\frac{1}{2}} + \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(-y) p_{j+l-s+k-1}(\tilde{D}) e^{\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s D_s} \tau_{s+1} \cdot \tau_{l-\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \end{gathered} \tag{3.13}$$

and on $V(\Lambda_0) \otimes V(\Lambda_0)$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(y) p_{j+s-l+k}(-\tilde{D}) e^{\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s D_s} \tau_s \cdot \tau_l - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(-y) p_{j+l-s-k-1}(\tilde{D}) e^{\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s D_s} \tau_{s+1} \cdot \tau_{l-1} = 0, \tag{3.14}$$

where $p_j(x)$ are the Schur polynomial on $(x_1, x_2, ...)$. Note that this hierarchy is invariant with respect to the translation $s \rightarrow s + r, \;\; l \rightarrow l + r, \;\; r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix, for simplicity, k=1. We look at the coefficient of y_1^s and restrict to the case $l - s = 0, \pm 1, 2$. From (3.14) we get the following simplest non trivial equations

$$\begin{cases} D_1^2 \tau_s \cdot \tau_s + 2\tau_{s-1} \cdot \tau_{s+1} = 0 \\ (D_1^2 + D_2) \tau_s \cdot \tau_{s+1} = 0 \\ (D_1^2 + D_2) \tau_{s-1} \cdot \tau_s = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

Letting $x = x_1, \; t = x_2, \; q(x,t) = \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_0}, \; q^*(x,t) = \frac{\tau_{-1}}{\tau_0}, \; u(x,t) = \log \tau_0$. Excluding u, we get the NLS system:

$$\begin{cases} q_t = q_{xx} - 2q^2 q^*, \\ -q_t^* = q_{xx} - 2q{q^*}^2. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) and we get

$$\begin{cases} (D_1^2 - D_2) \tau_s \cdot \tau_{s+\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \\ (D_1^2 - D_2) \tau_{s+1} \cdot \tau_{s+\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \\ (D_1^2 + D_2) \tau_s \cdot \tau_{s+1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Letting

$$q = \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_{\frac{1}{2}}}, \ \ q^* = \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

we transform equations (3.17) into the following system on functions q and q^* :

$$\begin{cases} q_t + q_{xx} - 2q_x(\log q^*)_x = 0, \\ -q^*_t + q^*_{xx} - 2q^*_x(\log q)_x = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

For any sequence of signs, say, $++-\cdots$, and numbers $a_1, a_2, ... \in \mathbb{C}$, $z_1, z_2, ... \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we can construct a solution of the NLS and of the (3.18) hierarchies:

$$(\tau^0)^{++-...}_{a_1,a_2,...;z_1,z_2,...}(x,t) = ...(1 + a_3X_{-\alpha}(z_3))(1 + a_2X_\alpha(z_2))(1 + a_1X_\alpha(z_1))1 \otimes 1 \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$(\tau^1)^{++-...}_{a_1,a_2,...;z_1,z_2,...}(x,t) = ..(1 + a_3X_{-\alpha}(z_3))(1 + a_2X_\alpha(z_2))(1 + a_1X_\alpha(z_1))1 \otimes e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

4 Twisted construction of the level one Intertwining operators. Related integrables systems.

In this section we give the bosonization of intertwining operators for the level one twisted fundamental modules $V^\omega(\Lambda_i)$, $i \in I$, where ω is a element of the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$, determined up to the conjugacy class. Let Q_w be the orthogonal projection of the root lattice Q on the subspace stable under the action of w in $Q \otimes \mathbb{C}$. All these operators can be realized in the space

$$V_{P_\omega} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V^\omega(\Lambda_i),$$

where P_ω is a lattice dual to the lattice Q_w. It is easy to see that P_ω is a orthogonal projection of the weight lattice P on the subspace stable under the action of w in $Q \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The sum is taken over the all level one fundamental modules of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in a twisted realization. These operators form a closed operator Γ-conformal algebras [GKK] of "parafermiomic type". In this section we will write explicitly the Hirota bilinear equations in terms of "twisted intertwining operators".

4.1 Description of nonconjugate Heisenberg subalgebras

It is well known [KW] that different realization of the basic (fundamentals) representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ leads to different hierarchies of soliton equations. The different vertex-operator's constructions depends on the different choise of the Heisenberg subalgebra in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, more appropriately, on the conjugacy class of Heisenberg subalgebres in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. In turn, the Heisenberg subalgebras in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ classified up to gauge transformation are parametrized by the conjugacy classes in the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$ [KP]. We will remind Kac-Peterson construcion of the Heisenbeg subalgebra corresponding to the given element ω of a Weyl group. Realize $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$

as a central extension of the map of the circle to the finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We want to classify all Heisenberg subalgebras $\{\mathfrak{h}(t)\}$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ up to the gauge transformation

$$\mathfrak{h}(t) \rightarrow g(t)\mathfrak{h}(t)g^{-1}(t), \tag{4.1}$$

where $g(t) \in \hat{G},\ \ t \in S^1$, $\mathfrak{h}(t) : S^1 \rightarrow H$ and H denotes the set of all Cartan subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix in $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ a root system, then for $t = 2\pi$, we get the automorphism $w \in W$ from

$$\mathfrak{h}(t) = g(t)\mathfrak{h}(0)g^{-1}(t).$$

Let $\{\mathfrak{h}(t)\}$ be the set of conjugacy classes of Heisenberg subalgebra in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. We have

$$\{\mathfrak{h}(t)\} \longrightarrow W$$

This map can be factorized

$$\{\mathfrak{h}(t)\}/\, G^{S^1} \simeq W\Big/\, Int, \tag{4.2}$$

where $G^{S^1} = \{g(t) \mid g(t) \in G,\ \ t \in S^1,\ \ g(0) = g(2\pi)\}$ and Int is the group of inner automorphisms of W. Fix $w \in W$ and define the map $W \longrightarrow \{\mathfrak{h}(t)\}$:

$$w \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_w(t) = g(t)\, \mathfrak{h}(0)\, g^{-1}(t), \tag{4.3}$$

where $g(0) = 1,\ \ g(2\pi) = w$ and $g(t) \in G,\ \ t \in S^1$. He have $g(t) \notin G^{S^1}$ and the map (4.1) can be factorized to the map (4.2).

If $\mathfrak{h}'(0)$ and $\mathfrak{h}''(0)$ are two Cartan subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{h}'(t)_w$ and $\mathfrak{h}''(t)_w$ are conjugate in $\mathfrak{g}$ under the action of G^{S^1} and $\mathfrak{h}'(t)_w = \mathfrak{h}''(t)_{w'}$ for w and w' conjugate in W. Our choice of subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ and the representative $w \in W/\, Int$ will be motivated by the problem of bosonisation of the intertwining operators.

Fix $w \in W$ and let m be the order of w. Let

$$\mathfrak{h}(0) = \mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}_j$$

be the eigenspace decomposition for w, where $\mathfrak{h}_k$ stands for the eigenspace attached to $e^{-2\pi ik}$, so that $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is a fixed point set of w. Let σ be a lifting of w in G, i.e. σ is such that $Ad\,\sigma$ leaves $\mathfrak{h}$ invariant and $Ad\,\sigma|_{\mathfrak{h}} = w$. There exists $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ (not unique) such that $\sigma = \exp 2\pi ix$ and $[x,\ \mathfrak{h}_0] = 0$. σ is a finite oder inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\sigma^m = 1$, than σ is conjugate to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ of the formed

$$\sigma' = exp\frac{2\pi i}{m}h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}(0)$$

Recall some facts about twisted affine algebras (for more details see [K]). One can associate a subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, m)$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to the automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m)_j, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m)_j = \mathfrak{g}_{j\, mod\, m} \otimes t^j$ and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$$

is the eigenspace decomposition for σ and $\mathfrak{g}_j$ is the eigenspace of σ for the eigenvalue ε^j ($\varepsilon^j = \exp(2\pi i/m)$). The decomposition (4.4) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m)$. We can extend this gradation on

$$\hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m) \oplus \mathbb{C}k \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \tag{4.5}$$

setting $deg\, k = deg\, d = 0$. $\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_\sigma = \hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m)$ for arbitrary finite oder σ is called a twisted affine algebra. If σ is an inner automorphism, then [K]

$$\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_\sigma \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{g}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Let σ be an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, then we have (4.6). The twisted Heisenberg subalgebra corresponding to w is

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w = \mathfrak{h}_w(t) = \{\mathfrak{h}_{k\, mod\, m} \otimes t^k |\ \mathfrak{h}_{k\, mod\, m} \in \mathfrak{g}_k,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_k$ is the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}_0$ in $\mathfrak{g}_k$.

4.2 Twisted evaluation modules and corresponding twisted intertwining operators

We keep the notations $V(\Lambda_i),\ \ i \in I$ for the level one fundamental modules of the simply laced Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The weights $P(V(\bar{\Lambda}_i))$ of $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ are invariant under the action of the Weyl group W. Fix $w \in W$ and consider the orbit decomposition under the action of w:

$$P(V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n_i} S_j. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that n_i does not depend on $\bar{\Lambda}_i$ and is determined by w. We will write n_w instead of n_i. Define $s_j = |S_j|$.

There exists a lifting T of w on G satisfying the conditions

$$Te_\gamma = e_{w(\gamma)}, \tag{4.9}$$

for $\gamma \in P(V(\bar{\Lambda}_i))$ and a weight basis $\{e_\gamma\}$, normalized as in (2.22). Let $\gamma_j \in S_j$ be the maximal weight in S_j so, for $\beta \in S_j$, we have $\beta = \gamma_j - \alpha,\ \ \alpha \in Q_+$ and $\beta = w^k(\gamma_j)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[T]$- module of rank n_w over the generators $e_{\gamma_j},\ j = 1, ..n_w$. Denote by V_j the linear span of $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in S_j}$ and by $T_j = T|_{V_j}$. We have $[T_j,\ \mathfrak{h}_0] = 0$ for $j = 1, ..., n_w$ and $\mathfrak{h}_0$ the stable point under the w in $\mathfrak{h}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{h}(0) = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus_{j=1}^{k_w} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s_j} \mathbb{C}T_j^l \right) \tag{4.10}$$

is a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$. We can choose the lifting x of $w \in W$ in G, up to conjugacy classes, acting on $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ as

$$x \cdot e_{w^k(\gamma_j)} = \varepsilon_j^{-k} e_{w^k(\gamma_j)}, \quad \varepsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{s_j}}. \tag{4.11}$$

This determines the m-grading on $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ consistent with the m-grading on $\mathfrak{g}$, given by (4.4), with respect to the action of m -order automorphism x:

$$\mathfrak{g}_j \cdot V_k \subset V_{k+j}. \tag{4.12}$$

Note that the orthogonal projection of $\gamma^1, ...\gamma^s$ on $Q_w \otimes \mathbb{C}$ which we will denote by $\gamma'^1, ...\gamma'^s$ form the basis Π_w in the subspase $Q_w \otimes \mathbb{C}$, stable under the action of $w \in W$. Due to (4.10) and (4.11) we can define the following basis in the Heisenberg subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$:

$$\begin{gathered} H_j^l = T_j^l \otimes t^{\frac{ml}{s_j}}, \;\; l \neq 0 \, mod \, s_j \\ \gamma_k^i = \gamma^i \otimes t^{mk}, \; k \in \mathbb{Z}, \;\; i = 1, ..., s. \end{gathered} \tag{4.13}$$

Consider the fields

$$\begin{gathered} \gamma^i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k^i \, z^{-mk-1}, \\ H^j(z) = \sum_{l \neq 0 \, mod \, s_j} H_j^l \, z^{-\frac{ml}{s_j}-1} \end{gathered} \tag{4.14}$$

and the corresponding formal integrals over z of these fields (the Veneziano fields):

$$\begin{gathered} \tilde{\gamma}^i(z) = i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k^i \, \frac{z^{-mk}}{mk} - i\gamma^i - i\gamma_0^i \log z, \\ \tilde{H}^j(z) = i \sum_{l \neq s_j} H_j^l \, \frac{z^{-\frac{ml}{s_j}}}{\frac{ml}{s_j}}. \end{gathered} \tag{4.15}$$

These fields contain all generators of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$. Define the twisted evaluation module

$$V_t^w(\bar{\Lambda}_i) \;\simeq\; \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (V_{k \, mod \, m} \otimes t^k).$$

Due to (4.12) the action of $\hat{\mathfrak{L}}_\sigma$ is compatible with this gradation..

To each w-orbit $S_j \subset P(V(\bar{\Lambda}_i))$ one can associats a generating function (field) on $V_t^w(\bar{\Lambda}_i)[z, z^{-1}]$:

$$E_j(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e_{w^k(\gamma_j)} \, t^{\frac{km}{s_j}} \; z^{-\frac{km}{s_j}}. \tag{4.16}$$

For the adjoint twisted fundamental module

$$(V_t^w(\bar{\Lambda}_i))^* \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (V_{k \, mod \, m}^* \otimes t^k).$$

and the adjoint w- orbit S^*_j , one can associate an adjoint generating function (field)

$$E^*_j(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^*_{w^k(\gamma_j)}\, t^{-\frac{km}{s_j}}\, z^{\frac{km}{s_j}}\,. \tag{4.17}$$

It is known [KP] that the realization (vertex-operator construction) of the fundamental representation $V(\Lambda_i)$ are parametrised by the element $w \in W$ (up to a conjugacy classes) in a sense that the bosonization is based on the choice of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_w(t)$ corresponding to w in the sense of (4.7). We will denote this construction by R_w and the corresponding space of representation by $V^w(\Lambda_i)$.

Consider the intertwining operator

$$\Phi^i_w(z) : V^w(\Lambda_m) \longrightarrow V^w(\Lambda_n) \otimes (V^w_t(\bar{\Lambda}_i))^*[z,\, z^{-1}]\, z^{(\bar{\Lambda}_m|\bar{\Lambda}_i)} \tag{4.18}$$

and the adjoint intertwining operator

$$\Psi^i_w(z) : V^w(\Lambda_p) \longrightarrow V^w(\Lambda_s) \otimes V^w(\bar{\Lambda}_i)[z,\, z^{-1}]\, z^{-(\bar{\Lambda}_p|\bar{\Lambda}_i)}, \tag{4.19}$$

Each field $E_j(z)$ (4.16) gives rise to the component of the intertwining operator $\Phi^i_w(z)$

$$\Phi^i_j(z) : V^w(\Lambda_m) \longrightarrow V^w(\Lambda_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes \mathbb{C}[[z]]\, z^{<\bar{\Lambda}_m|\bar{\Lambda}_i>} \tag{4.20}$$

and, correspondingly, the field $E^*_j(z)$ determined from (4.19) the operator

$$\Psi^i_j(z) : V^w(\Lambda_p) \longrightarrow V^w(\Lambda_s) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes \mathbb{C}[[z]] z^{-<\bar{\Lambda}_p|\bar{\Lambda}_i>}. \tag{4.21}$$

The normalization by z is determined by the common grading.

4.3 Bosonization of twisted intertwining operators

Fix $w \in W$ and let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$ be the corresponding Heisenberg subalgebra (4.7). Define the space of states az follows. Consider a sublattice Q_w in Q stable under the action of w. Let P_w be the lattice dual to Q_w. Suppose we have a bimultiplicative cocycle $\varepsilon_w(\alpha,\, \beta),\ \ \alpha, \beta \in P_w$ on P_w defined by the properties (2.3) -(2.5) for the lattices Q_w and P_w. Consider the space

$$V_{P_w} = S_w \otimes \mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P_w], \tag{4.22}$$

where S_w is a symmetric algebra over the space

$$\mathfrak{h}^{<0}_w = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j<0} \mathfrak{h}_n \otimes t^{-n+jm} \tag{4.23}$$

and $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P_w]$ is a twisted group algebra over the lattice P_w. The space V_{P_w} is a sum of all level one representations of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^w = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g},\, \sigma,\, m)$ in the realization R_w. This means that the bosonization of all operators is given in terms of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$ (4.7) and σ is the lifting of w to $Aut\mathfrak{g}/Int\mathfrak{g}$ determined as in (4.11). Consider the basis in $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$ defined in (4.13) and the intertwining operators (4.20), (4.21) defined by the generating function

(4.16) on $V_t^w(\bar{\Lambda}_i)[z, z^{-1}]$. From the action (4.9) and the definition of the basis on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$ one has the following commutation relations:

$$[H_p^l,\ \Phi_j^i(z)] = \ \delta_{p,j}\, z^{\frac{ml}{s_j}}\ \Phi_j^i(z), \tag{4.24}$$

$$[\gamma_k^r,\ \Phi_j^i(z)] = <\gamma^r|\, \lambda_j > \ \ z^{km}\ \Phi_j^i(z)$$

and for the adjoint operators:

$$[H_p^l,\ \Psi_j^i(z)] = -\delta_{p,j}\ z^{\frac{ml}{s_j}}\ \Psi_j^i(z) \tag{4.25}$$

$$[\gamma_k^r,\ \Psi_j^i(z)] = \, - <\gamma^r|\, \lambda_j > \ \ z^{km}\ \Psi_j^i(z)$$

This determines the operators $\Phi_j^i(z)$ and $\Psi_j^i(z)$ up to the action on $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P_w]$. From the general commutation relations we get the following expressions:

$$\Phi_j^i(z) = \varepsilon_w(-\alpha_j', \bar{\Lambda}_i{}') : e^{\frac{i}{s_j}\tilde{H}^j(z) + i\tilde{\lambda'}^j(z)} : \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\Psi_j^i(z) = (-1)^{(\alpha_j', \bar{\Lambda}_i{}')}\varepsilon_w(\alpha_j', \alpha_j' - \bar{\Lambda}_i{}') : e^{-\frac{i}{s_j}\tilde{H}^j(z) - i\tilde{\lambda'}^j(z)} :, \tag{4.27}$$

where α_j', $\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'$, λ' are the projections of the corresponding weights on $Q_w \otimes \mathbb{C}$ parallel to the subspace $Q_w^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}$ in P and $\lambda_j = \bar{\Lambda}_i - \alpha_j$ for $\alpha_j \in \Delta_+$. It is easy to see that this projection is the same for all points of S_j .

We would like to point out, that the components of the operators $\Phi_j^i(z)$, applied to the vacuum vector $|0> = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_{P_w}$ give rise to the whole space V_{P_w} and the fields

$$X_{j,l,n}^i(z) =: \Phi_j^i(z)\ \Psi_l^i(\varepsilon^n z) : |_{V^w(\Lambda_m)},\ \ n = 1, ..., m \tag{4.28}$$

where $\varepsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$ define the realization R_w of the fundamental representation $V(\Lambda_m)$ constructed in [KP]. Due to (4.26) -(4.27) we get the bosonization of the fields $X_{j,l}^i$ and their expression in terms of the twisted intertwining operators. The normal ordering is undestood in a standard way:

$$\Phi_j^i(z)\ \Psi_l^i(w) = (z^m - w^m)^{-(\lambda'^j, \lambda'^l)} : \Phi_j^i(z)\ \Psi_l^i(w) : \ \ \text{for}\ \ |z| > |w|,\ l \neq j, \tag{4.29}$$

where m is the order of $w \in W$.

4.4 Hirota bilinear equation in terms of twisted intertwining operators

We keep all notation of the above subsections.

Consider the operator

$$K_w^i(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_w} \Phi_j^i(z) \otimes \Psi_j^i(z) : \ \ V^w(\Lambda_m) \otimes V^w(\Lambda_p) \longrightarrow \tag{4.30}$$

$$V^w(\Lambda_n)\otimes V^w(\Lambda_s)\otimes \mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}]\, z^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'|\bar{\Lambda}'_m-\bar{\Lambda}'_p)},$$

On $V^w(\Lambda_m)\otimes V^w(\Lambda_p)$ operator $K^i_w(z)$ has a decomposition

$$K^i_w(z)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} K^i_k\, z^{-k+(\bar{\Lambda}_i{}',\bar{\Lambda}'_m-\bar{\Lambda}'_p)}, \tag{4.31}$$

Where $\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'$ stands for the projection to $Q_w\otimes\mathbb{C}$ parallel to $Q^\perp$ (see (4.26)-(4.27)).

Define the m-vacuum $|m>=1\otimes e^{\bar{\Lambda}'_m}$ for the highest weight vector in $V^w(\Lambda_m)$. For

$$k>\max_{\gamma\in P_w(\Lambda_i)} <\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'-\gamma'|\bar{\Lambda}'_m-\bar{\Lambda}'_p> \tag{4.32}$$

we have

1. K^i_k, where $k=lm,\ l\in\mathbb{Z}$ and m the order of $w\in W$, commutes with the diagonal action of the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^w$ on $V^w(\Lambda_m)\otimes V^w(\Lambda_p)$, given by (4.28).
2. $K^i_k(|m>\otimes|p>)=0$ for k as in (4.32).
3. The equation

$$K^i_{lm}(\tau_1\otimes\tau_2)=0,\quad \tau_1\otimes\tau_2\in V^w(\Lambda_m)\otimes V^w(\Lambda_p) \tag{4.33}$$

determines the hierarchy of soliton equations associated with the above data and the vertex-operator construction R_w of the representations considered.

For $\tau\in V^w(\Lambda_m)$ we have

$$\tau=\sum_{\beta\in P_w(\Lambda_m)}\tau_\beta\otimes e^\beta,$$

where $\tau_\beta\in S_w$ and $P_w(V(\bar{\Lambda}_m))$ (we will write for simplicity $P_w(\bar{\Lambda}_m)$) is the set of all projections of $P(V(\bar{\Lambda}_m))$ to $Q_w\otimes\mathbb{C}$ parallel to the $Q^\perp$. We can identify the space S_w with the space $\mathbb{C}[x^j_{k_j},\ y^\gamma_l]$, where $j=1,...,n_w,\ \ k_j\neq 0\, mod\, s_j;\ \ \gamma\in\Pi_w$ (Π_w is a basis in Q_ω), $l\in\mathbb{Z}$.

The action on $\mathbb{C}[x^j_{k_j},\ y^\gamma_l]$ of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$ with the basis (4.13) is given by

$$\gamma_{-k}\to km\, y^\gamma_k;\quad \gamma_k\to\sum_{\beta\in\Pi_w}<\gamma|\,\beta>\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta_k};\quad \gamma_0\to 0,\quad k\in\mathbb{Z}_+ \tag{4.34}$$

and

$$H^j_l\to ml\, x^j_l,\ \ H^j_{-l}\to\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j_l},\ \ l\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ \ l\neq 0\, mod\, s_j.$$

On the space $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon[P_w]$ the Heisenberg subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$ acts by

$$\gamma_k\cdot e^\beta=\delta_{k,0}\ <\gamma|\,\beta>\ e^\beta;\quad H^j_l\cdot e^\beta=0,\quad \beta\in P_w.$$

By linearity for all $\beta\in P_w$ β_k is a well defined operator on V_{P_w}. Similarly to the nontwisted case we will use the notation ∂_{β_l} for the operator β_l, $l>0$.

Using the bosonisation formulas (4.26) - (4.27) for $\Phi^i_j(z)$ and $\Psi^i_j(z)$, one can write explicitly the systems of Hirota bilinear equations. Fix $k=lm,\ l\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (4.32) , then the equation (4.33) turns into

$$Res|_{z=0}z^{lm-<\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'|\,\bar{\Lambda}'_m-\bar{\Lambda}'_p>}\left(\sum_{\gamma_j\in P_w(\bar{\Lambda}_i)}(-1)^{\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'|\,\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'-\gamma_j>}\varepsilon_w(\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'-\gamma_j,-\bar{\Lambda}_i{}'-\gamma_j)\right. \tag{4.35}$$

$$: e^{\frac{i}{s_j}\tilde{H}^j(z)+i\tilde{\gamma}^j(z)} : \otimes : e^{-\frac{i}{s_j}\tilde{H}^j(z)-i\tilde{\gamma}^j(z)} :\Big) \left(\sum_{\beta\in P_w(\bar{\Lambda}_m),\delta\in P_w(\bar{\Lambda}_p)} \tau_\beta\, e^\beta \otimes \tau_\delta\, e^\delta \right) = 0.$$

Using the action (4.34) of the coefficiens of the fields $\tilde{H}^j(z)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^i(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}[x^j_{k_j},\ y^\gamma_l]$ and doing the standard manipulations as in (3.8) - (3.10), we can write the Hirota bilinear equations associated with the (i)-(iii) of the Definition 1.1.

Example 4.1 *Consider the case* $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(N,\mathbb{C})$.

The Weyl group W is isomorphic to the group S_N of all N-point set permutations. The conjugacy classes in W are parametrised by the sequances $(n_1,...,n_s)$, $n_1 \geq n_2,..., \geq n_s$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i = N$. Fix $w \in W$ representing the class with the given sequance $(n_1,...,n_s)$. As we have note (examlpe 2.1), all fundamental modules $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$, $i \in I = \{0,1,...,N-1\}$ are at level one. Fix $i \in I$ and consider the orbit decomposition (4.8) under the the action of w on the weight lattice:

$$P(V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n_w} S_j. \tag{4.36}$$

We have $n_w = s$ and $(s_1,...,s_s)$ is a permutation of $(n_1,...,n_s)$, where $s_j = |S_j|$. We can choose the lifting x of $w \in W$ in G acting on $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ as

$$x \cdot e_{w^k(\gamma_j)} = \varepsilon_j^{-k} e_{w^k(\gamma_j)}, \quad \varepsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{s_j}}, \tag{4.37}$$

where γ_j is a maximal weight in S_j. The group element x defines compatible m-gradings on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and on $V(\bar{\Lambda}_i)$ The orthogonal projections of γ_j satisfy the relation $\sum_{j=1}^s s_j \gamma_j^{'} = 0$ and $\{\gamma_j^{'}\}$, $j = 1,...,s-1$ form a basis in $Q_w \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

For more details, consider the case $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(3,\mathbb{C})$. We have $W \simeq S_3$ and three possibilities of choice of nonisomorphic Heisenberg subalgebras, corresponding to the partitions $(1,1,1)$, $(2,1)$, (3). The first case corresponds to the homogeneous Heisenberg subalgebra and was cosidered in the second section. The thied case corresponds to the principal Heisenberg subalgebra. This case can be obtained as a reduction from the one component KP and leads to the so-called Boussinesq hierarchy [DJKM2]. The second case coresponds to the second order element $w \in W$. Let α_1, α_2 be the standard basis in $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(3,\mathbb{C})$. Up to conjugacy class we can put $w = s_{\alpha_1}$ the reflection corresponding to α_1. For the action of w on the weights of $V(\bar{\Lambda}_1)$ ($(V(\bar{\Lambda}_2) = V^*(\bar{\Lambda}_1)$) we have two orbits;

$$S_1 = \{\gamma_1 = \frac{2}{3}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2,\ \gamma_2 = \gamma_1 - \alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2\} \text{ and } S_2 = \{\gamma_3 = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1 - \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2\}. \tag{4.38}$$

The $\gamma_1^{'} = \frac{1}{6}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2$ determines the one-dimensional space $Q_w \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The lattice Q_w is generated by $\alpha_2^{'} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and the weight lattice P_w by $\gamma_1^{'} = \frac{1}{6}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2$ The grading element x has the form

$$x = diag\,(1,-1,1).$$

The basis in the graded Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_w$ is

$$\gamma_1^{'} = \frac{1}{6}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 \text{ and } T = X_{\alpha_1} + X_{-\alpha_1}.$$

The basis in the corresponding Heisenberg subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_w$ is

$$\gamma_k = \gamma_1' \otimes t^{2k}, \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z}; \qquad H_l = T \otimes t^l, \;\; l \neq 0 \; mod\, 2.$$

We can identify the space S_w with the space $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_3, ...; y_2, y_4, ...]$. For the components (4.20)-(4.21) of the intertwining operators (4.18)-(4.19) one has the following bosonization:

$$\Phi_1^1(z) = e^{\sum_{k\ odd, k\geq 0} x_k z^k + \sum_{k\geq 0} y_{2k}\, z^{2k}} e^{-\sum_{k\ odd, k\geq 0} \frac{z^{-k}}{2k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - \frac{1}{6}\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{z^{-2k}}{2k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}}} \otimes e^{\gamma_1'}(-z)^{\gamma_0} \tag{4.39}$$

$$\Phi_2^1(z) = e^{-2\sum_{k\geq 0} y_{2k}\, z^{2k}} e^{\frac{1}{3}\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{z^{-2k}}{2k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}}} \otimes e^{-2\gamma_1'}(-z)^{-2\gamma_0}$$

and for the adjoint operators:

$$\Psi_1^1(z) = e^{-\sum_{k\ odd, k\geq 0} x_k z^k - \sum_{k\geq 0} y_{2k}\, z^{2k}} e^{\sum_{k\ odd, k\geq 0} \frac{z^{-k}}{2k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{z^{-2k}}{2k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}}} \otimes e^{-\gamma_1'}(-z)^{-\gamma_0}$$
$$\Psi_2^1(z) = e^{2\sum_{k\geq 0} y_{2k}\, z^{2k}} e^{-\frac{1}{3}\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{z^{-2k}}{2k}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}}} \otimes e^{2\gamma_1'}(-z)^{2\gamma_0}. \tag{4.40}$$

For $k = 2l, \;\; l \geq 1$ we can write down the equation (4.35) in the following form. Identify the space

$$V^w(\bar{\Lambda}_m) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_n',\, y_s'] \otimes \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{m}{3}\alpha_2' + r\alpha_2'}, \;\; \text{and} \;\; V^w(\bar{\Lambda}_p) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_n'',\, y_s''] \otimes \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{p}{3}\alpha_2' + r\alpha_2'},$$

$n,\ s \in \mathbb{Z},\ n$ - odd, s - even. For $\tau^m \in V^w(\bar{\Lambda}_m)$ we have

$$\tau^m = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \tau_{\frac{m}{3}+r} \otimes e^{\frac{m}{3}\alpha_2' + r\alpha_2'}.$$

Looking at the coefficient of $e^{(\frac{m+1}{3}+r)\alpha_2'} \otimes e^{\frac{p-1}{3}\alpha_2' + s\alpha'}$ in (4.35), $r,\ s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get the following equation:

$$Res|_{z=0} z^{2l} \Big[(-z)^{r-s}\ e^{\sum_{k\ odd, k\geq 0}(x_k' - x_k'')z^k + \sum_{k\geq 0}(y_{2k}' - y_{2k}'')\, z^{2k}} . \tag{4.41}$$
$$e^{-\sum_{k\ odd, k\geq 0} \frac{z^{-k}}{2k}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k''}) - \frac{1}{6}\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{z^{-2k}}{2k}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}''})} \tau_{\frac{m}{3}+r}(x', y')\tau_{\frac{p}{3}+s}(x'', y'') -$$
$$(-z)^{(p-m)+2(r-s-2)} e^{-2\sum_{k\geq 0}(y_{2k}' - y_{2k}'')\, z^{2k}} e^{\frac{1}{3}\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{z^{-2k}}{2k}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2k}''})} \tau_{\frac{m}{3}+r+1}(x', y')\tau_{\frac{p}{3}+s-1}(x'', y'') \Big] = 0.$$

Introduce the new variables:

$$x_j = \frac{1}{2}(x_j' - x_j''), \quad u_j = \frac{1}{2}(x_j' + x_j'')$$

and

$$y_j = \frac{1}{2}(y_j' - y_j''), \quad v_j = \frac{1}{2}(y_j' + y_j'').$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j''}, \;\; \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j''},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j^{'}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j^{''}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j^{'}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j^{''}},$$

Then the equation(4.41) is equivalent to the following system of Hirota bilinear equations:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} p_k(2x,\, 2y)\, p_{k+2l+1+r-s}(-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{D}_x, -\frac{1}{6}\tilde{D}_y) e^{\sum_{p,l\geq 1} x_{2p-1}D^x_{2p-1}+y_{2l}D^y_{2l}} \tau_{\frac{m}{3}+r}\, \tau_{\frac{p}{3}+s} - \tag{4.42}$$

$$(-1)^{p-m+r-s} \sum_{k\geq 0} p_k(-4y)\, p_{k+2l+1+p-m+2(s-r-2)}(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{D}_y) e^{\sum_{l\geq 1} y_{2l}D^y_{2l}} \tau_{\frac{m}{3}+r+1}\, \tau_{\frac{p}{3}+s-1} = 0,$$

where p_s are the Schur pollynomials depending on odd x and even y and $\tilde{D}_x$ stands for $(D_{x_1}, \frac{1}{3}D_{x_3}, ...)$, $\tilde{D}_y$ stands for $(\frac{1}{2}D_{y_2}, \frac{1}{4}D_{y_4}, ...)$. Note that all these equations are invariant with respect to the translation

$$m \to (m+k)\, mod\, 3, \quad p \to (p+k)\, mod\, 3; \quad r \to r+l, \quad s \to s+l, \quad k,\, l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Acknowledgments

The autors are grateful to A.Reyman for useful comments and to C.Roger for hospitality during the stay in the Institute Girard Desargues, URA 746, Université Lyon-1, where this work was completed. M. G.-K is grateful to the Phys.Department of the University Roma 1 and the Institute des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques (France) for the kind hospitality and support during the preparation of this work. The research of M. G.-K and D. L was supported in part by RFFI grant 96-02-18046, grant 96-15-96455 for support of scientific schools and by Award No. RM2-150 of the U.S. Civilian Research & Development Fondation (CRDF) for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union.

References

[S] M. Sato, *Soliton equationa as dynamical systems on infinit dimentional Grassmann manifold*, RIMS, **439**, (1981).

[DJKM1] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T.Miwa *Operator approach to the Kadomsev-Petviashvili equation. Transformation group for soliton equations III*, J.Phys.Soc. Japan, **50**, (1981), 3806-3812.

[DJKM2] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T.Miwa *Transformation groups for soliton equations. Euclidean Lie algebras and reduction of the KP hierarchy*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **18**, (1982), 1077-1110.

[K] V.G. Kac *Infinite dimensional Lie Algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1990)

[K1] V.G. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, University lecture series, ISSN, vol. **10**, AMS, Providence RI, 1996.

[KKLW] V.G. Kac, D.A. Kazhdan, J. Lepowsky and R.L. Wilson, *Realization of the basic representations of the Euclidean Lie algebras*, Adv. in Math, **4** (1981), 83–112.

[KP] V.G. Kac and D.H. Peterson, *112 constructions of the basic representation of the loop groop of* E_8 in Proc. of the Symposium "Anomalies, Geometry, Topology", World Scientific, 1985, 276-289.

[KV] V.G. Kac and J.van de Leur, *The n-Component KP Hierarchy and Representation Theory* in Important Develoopments in Soliton Theory, eds. A. Fokas and V. Zakharov. Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, (1993), 302-343.

[V] J. van de Leur, *The* $[n_1, n_2, ..., n_s]$ *-th Reduced KP Hierarchy and* $W_{1+\infty}$ *Constraine* in Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Math.Phys., String Theory and Quant. Gravity at Alushta, 1994.

[GKK] M.Golenishcheva-Kutuzova, V.Kac, Γ *-Conformal algebras*, q-alg/9709006; J.Math.Phys, vol 39, n.4, 2290-2305, 1998.

[KW] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Exeptional hierarchies of solyton equations*, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math, **141** (1989), 191-237.

[FKRW] Ed. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Radul and W. Wang $W_{1+\infty}$ *and* $W(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ *with Central Charge* N , Commun. Math. Phys, **170**, (1995), 337-357.

[FR] I.B. Frenkel and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, *Quantum Affine Algebras and Holonomic Difference Equations*, Commun. Math. Phys, **146**, (1992), 1-60.

[GKLMM] A.Gerasimov, S.Khoroshkin, D.Lebedev, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, *Generalized Hirota Equations and Representation Theory The Case of* $SL(2)$ *and* $SL_q(2)$, Intern. J. of Modern Phys., **10**, n. 18. (1995), 2589-2614.

[KKL] S.Kharchev, S.Khoroshkin, D.Lebedev, *Intertwining operators and Hirota bilinear equations*, Theor.et Math.Phys.,**104**, n.1 (1995), 114-157.

[GK] M. Golenishcheva-Kutuzova, *Soliton equations with Wakimoto Modules Symmetries.* $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ *- case*, in prepatation.

[FZ] V.A. Fateev and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Parafermionic* Z_N *-models*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys., **43**, (1986).

[W] G.Wilson, Ergod.Th. and Dynam. Sys.1(1981)361; Phil.Trans.R.Soc.Lond. A 315(1985)383.

[GHM] M.F.de Groot, T.J. Hollowood and J.L.Miramontes, Commun.Math.Phys. 145(1992)57.

[BGHM] N.J.Burroughs, M.F.de Groot, T.J.Hollowood and J.L. Miramontes, Commun.Math.Phys. 153(1993)187.

[A] H.Aratyn, L.A.Ferreira, J.F.Gomes and A.H.Zimerman, J.M.P. 38(1997)1559; Solitons from Dressing in an Algebraic Approach to the Constrained KP Hierarchy, preprint solv-int/9709004.